A Comparative Evaluation of Microleakage around Class V Cavities Restored with Different Tooth Colored Restorative Materials
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Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate and compare microleakage around class V cavities restored with silorane and dimethacrylate-based composite resins.

Methods: Standard Class V cavities were prepared on the buccal surface of 60 non-carious human molars. Teeth were randomly divided into 3 groups (n=20) depending on the restorative materials used, Silorane-based composite resin (Filtek P90-SIL), dimethacrylate-based composite resin (Solare P-SOLP) and light-cure glass ionomer cement (GC Fuji II LC-LCGIC). The restored teeth with these tooth-coloured restorative materials were thermo-cycled and then immersed in 2% Rhodamine B dye under vacuum pressure for 48 hours. All teeth were bisected longitudinally in a bucco-lingual direction and observed under stereo-microscope at 30X magnification for the evidence of dye penetration. The data were analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s Post Hoc tests (α=0.05).

Results: SIL composite resin showed the least microleakage in Class V cavity restorations with a statistically significant difference to SOLP and LCGIC. Sixty five percent of specimens in SIL group, 30% in SOLP group and 5% in LCGIC group showed dye penetration up to one-third of the cavity depth, while 5% in SIL group, 5% in SOLP group and 35% in LCGIC group showed dye penetration up to two-thirds of the cavity depth, and 30% in SIL group, 65% in SOLP group and 60% in LCGIC group showed dye penetration up to the axial wall.

Conclusions: Silorane-based composite exhibited least microleakage in restoring class V cavities compared to dimethacrylate-based composite resin and light-cured glass ionomer cement.
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Introduction

The demand for tooth-colored restorations has grown considerably during the last decade. Resin-based Composites (RBCs), ceramics, glass ionomer cements are tooth coloured restorative materials, to name a few. Resin-based composites are the most widely used aesthetic restorative materials in today’s world [1]. Now a days, at least half of posterior direct restoration placements are done using resin-based composite restorative materials [2]. The reasons for their world-wide popularity are their excellent esthetic value, needing minimal tooth preparation, providing micro-mechanical bonding with the tooth structure and good retention. However, in spite of the many advantages; one of the major shortcomings of all Resin-based Composites (RBCs) is that they undergo polymerization shrinkage upon curing. The resulting volumetric contraction produces stress between the bonded restorations and tooth walls, resulting in gap formation and microleakage. Microleakage is defined as the penetration up to the axial wall.

Materials and Methods

Sixty non-carious freshly extracted human molars were collected and stored in 0.2% thymol solution at room temperature until use. Routine prophylactic procedure was carried out with rubber cup and pumice slurry for all teeth. The teeth with presence of fracture, crack or pigmentation were excluded. After autoclaving teeth samples were mounted in a semicircular fashion using dental plaster in a rubber mould and set at right angle to its long axis.
Standard Class V cavities were prepared on the buccal surface of all 60 molars, using #245 carbide burs (D-Flex, Germany, CE8120) in a high speed hand-piece with copious amount of water coolant. Bur was changed after each cavity preparation. Dimensions of the cavity preparation were kept exactly to: mesio-distal width of 3 mm, occluso-gingival height of 3 mm and depth of 2 mm. All cavity margins were kept in enamel. The depth of cavities was millimetrically standardized using a periodontal probe. All the preparations were performed by the same operator.

The teeth were then randomly assigned into 3 groups (n=20) depending on the restorative material used (Table 1). The three groups of teeth were restored following all the steps of etching, bonding and curing with different materials according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each specimen was light-irradiated using a light-emitting diode curing unit (Smartlute™ PS, Dentsply DeTrey GmbH, Germany). The light guide tip has 8mm in diameter, which covers the entire cavity preparation, and light output of 1000 mW/cm² as measured with a specific radiometer. In Group I (SIL) FiltekP90 self-etch primer was applied and left undisturbed for 15 s. It was air blown for 5 s and light cured for 10 s. Filtek P90 Bond was applied, air blown, and light cured for 10 s. Filtek P90 was placed in increments using Teflon coated instrument and cured for 40 s. In Group II (SOLP) the prepared surfaces of the teeth were etched first with 35% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds(s), rinsed for 30 s and excess water was removed leaving the dentin surface visibly moist (wet bonding). This was followed by application of two consecutive coats of total etch adhesive, dried with a gentle stream of air for 5 s, and light-cured for 10 s. Solare P was placed in increments using teflon coated instrument and cured for 40s. In Group III (LCGIC) the amount was mixed, placed into the cavity and light cured for 20 s. All the restored teeth were stored at 37°C in 100% relative humidity for 24 h before finishing and polishing with abrasive discs (Sof-Lex™, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA). Teeth were then carefully separated from the cast to avoid damage on restorations, washed and dried using gentle stream of air.

The restored teeth were subjected to thermo-cycling at 5°C and 55°C for 500 cycles, with 30 s dwell time at each bath. The teeth were covered with nail varnish leaving a window of 1 mm all around cavity margins and then they were immersed in 2% Rhodamine B dye under vacuum pressure of 75 torr, for 48 h. After exposure to the dye, the samples were rinsed with running water to remove the dye and the nail varnish was gently removed with a sterile #15 disposable scalpel blade (Lister, Chennai, TN, IN). All teeth were bisected longitudinally in a bucco-lingual direction using a diamond disc (Isomet, Buehler, IL, USA) at slow speed and observed under stereo-microscope (Labomed Inc, Los Angeles, CA, USA) at 30X magnification, for evidence of dye penetration. The maximum degree of dye penetration was recorded for each specimen and dye penetration was scored on a nonparametric scale from zero to four based on Alavi and Kianimanesh [20] criteria for microleakage analysis (Table 2). All the specimens were evaluated by two examiners. Three readings were taken, with two of the readings were to check for intra-examiner reliability.

Intra-examiner reliability was obtained using STATA statistical software by computing weighted kappa. The value of weighted kappa was 0.74. This kappa was in an acceptable range that indicated an acceptable level of consistency. Kappa statistic showed acceptable intra examiner reliability. The data were entered using SPSS version 11.0 and analyzed using One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s Post hoc tests (α=0.05).

Results

Microleakage was assessed as shown in Figures 1-4. A zero score was not observed in specimens from all groups. SIL composite resin showed the best results with lowest dye penetration and hence least microleakage in Class V cavity restorations. Further, it showed statistically significant difference with SOLP and LCGIC (Tables 3 and 4). Sixty five per cent of specimens in SIL group, 30% in SOLP group and 5% in LCGIC showed dye penetration up to one-third of the cavity depth, while 5% in SIL group, 5% in SOLP group and 35% in LCGIC showed dye penetration up to two-thirds of the cavity depth, and 30% in SIL group, 65% in SOLP group and 60% in LCGIC group showed dye penetration up to the axial wall (Graph 1).

Discussion

Over the past several decades, RBCs are providing an increased array of options for clinicians to restore teeth in a minimally invasive manner. However, these esthetic materials have limitations that restrict their use as universal restorative materials. In the present study Filtek P 90 (SIL) has shown the least microleakage, statistically

**Table 1. Test materials, manufacturers and composition.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adhesives</td>
<td>P90 self-etch adhesive</td>
<td>3M/ESPE Dental Products, St Paul, MN, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solare total etch adhesive system</td>
<td>GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin composite</td>
<td>Filtek P 90 Silorane Microhybrid composite (SIL)</td>
<td>3M/ESPE Dental Products, St Paul, MN, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOLARE P Micro hybrid composite (SOLP)</td>
<td>GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass ionomer</td>
<td>GC Fuji II LC Reinforced glass ionomer restorative (LCGIC)</td>
<td>GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel etchant</td>
<td>Gel etchant Scotchbond,</td>
<td>3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** Silorane represents a mixture that is made of both Siloxane and Oxirane structural, Bis-GMA: Bisphenol A-glycidyl Methacrylate, HEMA: 2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate, TEGDMA: Triethylene Glycol Dimethacrylate, UDMA: Urethane Dimethacrylate.
This is in accordance with studies done by Bagis [21], Yamazaki [22], Palin [23] and Al-Boni et al. [24] who proved that microleakage of silorane is lower than that of methacrylate-based composite resin. Additionally, Thalacker et al. [25] reported that the silorane-based composite resin system showed a better marginal integrity on both enamel and dentin than the methacrylate-based composite resin system. Similarly, a clinical study revealed that silorane-based composite resin exhibited better performance in occlusal and proximal marginal adaptation than a methacrylate-based composite resin [26].

Various techniques have been used to evaluate microleakage such as dye penetration, bacterial leakage, electrochemical method, fluid filtration, radioisotope labelling, and scanning electron microscope analysis [27]. Among these techniques, dye penetration is the most widely used method to assess microleakage because of its sensitivity, ease of use, and convenience [27,28]. However, it is essential to select a suitable dye solution to be used with tooth structure and restorative materials tested and other factor such as particle size of the dye solution should also be taken into consideration to prevent less reliable final results [28]. Therefore, Rhodamine-B dye under vacuum was used in this study to assess microleakage around class V restorations because of its small particle size, better penetration, water solubility, diffusability and hard tissue non-reactivity [29]. Vacuum helps to remove entrapped air which can prevent complete dye penetration [30].

### Table 2. Scoring Criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No dye penetration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dye penetration at the 1/3rd initial side of the cavity wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dye penetration at the 2/3rd middle side of the cavity wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dye penetration at the 1/3rd last side of the cavity wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dye penetration at the axial wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Digital photograph of a specimen restored with SIL showing a “1” score (dye penetration seen up to less than 1/3rd the cavity wall).

**Figure 2.** Specimen restored with LCGIC. The depth of dye penetration at 2/3rd middle side of the cavity wall was given a “2” score.

**Figure 3.** Dye penetration seen at 1/3rd last side of the cavity wall restored with LCGIC (score 3).

In the current study, lower microleakage scores obtained with SIL could be attributed to the ring opening chemistry of the silorane.
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polymer but when the polymer is subjected to the wet environment it swells up due to presence of molecule's hydrophilic pendant group and absorbs water. Therefore, there is an increase penetration of fluids into the material leading to more degradation and this could explain the lower performance of SOLP and LCGIC than SIL. The silorane-based composite exhibits decreased water sorption and solubility compared to conventional methacrylate-based composites, which suggests better hydrolytic stability in water immersion [36]. In resin-based silorane, functional groups of polymer network can contribute to greater free volume (due to the higher degree of freedom of the chain ends), which can enhance the penetration of the solvent, however, this penetration is reduced by the hydrophobic character of the molecule silorane [37]. Moreover, silorane-based composite resins are esthetic materials. Since they have good polishability, wear resistance and strength, these materials last long even against wear forces [37].

In the current study, a two-step total-etch adhesive was used with SOLP and the two-step self-etch Silorane system adhesive was used with SIL composite resin. The application of two-step total-etch adhesives has higher technical sensitivity than self-etch adhesives. Additionally, an adhesive agent when applied on the tooth surface shows its beneficial property by wetting all surfaces [38]. Previous studies [39,40] have reported that the poor wettability properties of high viscosity new composite materials necessitate a less viscous adhesive agent to penetrate the microcracks in the matrix of the composite and demineralized collagenous thus obtain micromechanical retention. Since silorane matrix is highly hydrophobic, it requires an individual adhesive system called Silorane System Adhesive (SSA) which is a two-step self-etch adhesive but bonding to dental structures is obtained in the first application step similar to one-step self-etch adhesives [41]. Previous study has also shown that the interaction of SSA with enamel/dentin and with silorane composite resin was free of voids in all sections offering benefits with regard to maintaining the interface sealed [42].

Studies have shown that the bonding of composite resin to enamel is better than dentin and less prone to hydrolytic breakdown [43]. Therefore, in the present study the cavity margins were kept in enamel. It has been shown that etch-and-rinse adhesive system shows less microleakage at the enamel interface than the self-etch adhesive system [44], contrary to our results, since, in the present study the group that applied SSA (SIL) showed better performance than SOLP group. Meharry et al. [45] found in their study that total–etch and self-etch adhesive systems were not significantly different system and the use of different nature of the silorane system adhesive [22]. Silorane based composite resin possess two key advantages: polymerization shrinkage lower than 1% due to the presence of oxirane monomers and increased hydrophobicity due to the siloxane in its composition [9,10]. Defined factors in the prevention of microleakage are bonding resistance, wetting properties, solvent structure and application properties in dentin adhesive systems and molecular elasticity, contraction and thermal expansion coefficients in restorative materials [31].

Presence of water reduces modulus of elasticity and strength of the bond interface [32,33]. Water sorption is dependent on hydrophilicity of its constituent monomers [34]. Urethane Dimethacrylate (UDMA) and 2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate (HEMA) are highly hydrophilic monomers [35]. Although these monomers convert into hydrophobic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leakage</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>10.078</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.039</td>
<td>2.580</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>13.956</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>.245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24.034</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study P < 0.05 was considered statistical significant

In the present study P < 0.05 was considered statistical significant.

Table 4. Multiple comparison of dye penetration in between experimental groups using Post-HOC test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(I) TYPE</th>
<th>(J) TYPE</th>
<th>Mean difference (I-J)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIL</td>
<td>SOLP</td>
<td>-.5765*</td>
<td>.15648</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCGIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.4235*</td>
<td>.15648</td>
<td>.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLP</td>
<td>SIL</td>
<td>.5765*</td>
<td>.15648</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCGIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.4235*</td>
<td>.15648</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCGIC</td>
<td>SOLP</td>
<td>1.0000*</td>
<td>.15648</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study P < 0.05 was considered statistical significant.
from each other. Possibly the development of newer bonding systems has resulted in bonding agents with better performance.

The technique sensitivity of total-etch adhesive systems arises from the wet bonding stage required to keep the collagen network in an expanded state [46]. The presence of water and ethanol as solvents in adhesives composition facilitate resin penetration into the collagen, despite dentinal humidity [47]. Moreover, the simplified version of the two-step etch-and-rinse adhesive is very hydrophilic, allowing the passage of fluid through the polymerized adhesive to the subjacent hybrid layer [48,49], reducing the polymerization and consequently the bond strength causes poor sealing of bonded interface.

In methacrylate-based composite resin, a volumetric shrinkage occurs because of proximity of monomers that react to establish a covalent bond in the polymerization process [50]. Although this phenomenon also occurs with the silorane-based composite resin but the ring-opening chemistry promotes expansion of the molecule during the polymerization process. The kinetics of the initiation and polymerization begin with cleavage and opening of the ring systems via a cationic ring-opening reaction, allowing a gain of space that counteracts the reduction in free volume. Overall, the polymerization process yields reduced volumetric shrinkage (<1%) compared with methacrylate-based composites (2-5%) [10].

LCGIC was used as control in the present study. It has shown to create less stress on the residual cavity walls and improve marginal adaptation better than conventional glass ionomer cements [51]. Although, results of our study have shown that large amount of marginal microleakage in LCGIC group.

Resin modified glass ionomer cement contains the components similar to conventional glass ionomer, but in addition, it also contains polymerizable resin monomers in liquid (HEMA) along with initiators and activators. When the powder and liquid are mixed, both the acid–base reaction of conventional glass ionomer and the polymerization reaction of resin components take place resulting in the formation of two separate matrices, i.e. metal polyacrylate matrix and poly HEMA matrix [52]. Those results could indicate the effects of thermo-cycling and water sorption on RMGIC. Thermo-cycling is a combination of hydrolytic degradation and thermal stresses and is a method to simulate temperature-related breakdown by repeated sudden temperature changes. It causes deterioration of the interface and/or the materials. High water sorption potentiates the undesirable effects on restorative materials. Those materials which exhibit higher levels of water sorption presents higher expansion and are more easily stained by hydrophilic pigments, in that case water acts as a vehicle for dye penetration [53]. LCGIC has greater affinity for water and gets degraded by water; hence, it justifies its poor performance in this study.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of the present study, silorane-based composite exhibited least microleakage in restoring class V cavities when compared to methacrylate-based composite resin and light-cured glass ionomer cement. Hence, silorane-based composite resins can be recommended to restore cervical lesions.
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